

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/929,769

Applicant(s)

GAO ET AL.

Examiner

Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1642

All Participants:(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.(2) Mark T. Kresnak, Ph.D.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 October 2004**Time:** 4:30 p.m.**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Dr. Kresnak to discuss the merit of the amendment filed August 10, 2004 and to propose entry of an examiner's amendment to claim 4 to place this application in condition for allowance; Dr. Kresnak authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment..